

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART  
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP  
David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758)  
Albert P. Bedecarré (Bar No. 148178)  
Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927)  
Emily C. O'Brien (Bar No. 240072)  
50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700  
Email: [davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com)  
[albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com)  
[carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com)  
[emilycobrien@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:emilycobrien@quinnemanuel.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff and  
Counterclaim Defendant  
ZiLOG, Inc.

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
G. Hopkins Guy, III (State Bar No. 124811)  
Kevin Jones (State Bar No. 240205)  
1000 Marsh Road  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Telephone: (650) 614-7400  
Facsimile: (650) 614-7401  
Email: [hopguy@orrick.com](mailto:hopguy@orrick.com)  
[kjones@orrick.com](mailto:kjones@orrick.com)

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant  
QuickLogic Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ZiLOG, Inc., a Delaware corporation,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
QUICKLOGIC CORPORATION,  
a Delaware corporation,  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. C 03-3725 JW (HRL)

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER TO AMEND PATENT  
SCHEDULING ORDER**

Judge: The Honorable James Ware

**STIPULATION**

WHEREAS:

A. The Court issued a Patent Scheduling Order dated September 14, 2006;

B. The Court's Patent Scheduling Order contains dates that are inconsistent with the Patent Local Rules and the Claim Construction Hearing date, set by the Court at the Case Management Conference on September 11, 2006. For example, the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement is currently due two days after Plaintiff's Opening Claim Construction Brief; and

C. Plaintiff ZiLOG, Inc. ("ZiLOG") and Defendant QuickLogic Corporation ("QuickLogic") wish to alleviate these apparent inconsistencies;

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between ZiLOG and QuickLogic, through their respective counsel of record, that the following amended schedule be set for Claim Construction in this matter:

**AMENDED CLAIM CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE**

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ACTION</b>
October 17, 2006	P.L.R. 4-1(a): Exchange of terms and identification of § 112(6) limitations
October 31, 2006	P.L.R. 4-2(a) and (b): Exchange preliminary proposed constructions and supporting extrinsic evidence
November 27, 2006	P.L.R. 4-3: File Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement
December 29, 2006	P.L.R. 4-4: Deadline for Claim Construction Discovery
January 5, 2007	P.L.R. 4-5(a): ZiLOG opening brief and supporting evidence
January 19, 2007	P.L.R. 4-5(b): QuickLogic responsive brief and supporting evidence
January 26, 2007	P.L.R. 4-5(c): ZiLOG reply brief and supporting evidence
February 9, 2007	P.L.R. 4-6: Claim Construction Hearing

1  
2 Dated: October 12, 2006

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART  
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

3  
4 By: /s/ Albert P. Bedecarré  
Albert P. Bedecarré  
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant  
5 ZiLOG, Inc.  
6

7 Dated: October 12, 2006


ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

8  
9 By: /s/ Kevin C. Jones  
Kevin C. Jones  
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant  
10 QuickLogic Corporation  
11  
12  
13

14 **ORDER**

15 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.  
16

17 Dated: October 13, 2006

18  
19 By:   
20 Honorable James Ware  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28